



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,208	04/14/2004	Quenton L. Gilbert	C02-0005-001	6064	
33190 CINGULAR V	7590 05/01/2007 VIRELESS LLC	•	EXAMINER		
5565 GLENRIDGE CONN:, #1725A C/O LINDA GILES, PATENT MANAGER			HASHEM, LISA		
ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advison, Astion			
Advisory Action	10/824,208	GILBERT, QUENTO	ON L.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa Hashem	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	•	<u>-</u>	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	· (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	-ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	d within the time period set forth in t	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	acause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-	time also file al especial and	ant acasalina tha
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	anowable if submitted in a separate,	timely liled amending	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profite the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut hefere or on the date of filing a N	latica of Apparal will be	at he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good awas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	ai and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:

Lisa Hashem

13. Other: \_\_\_

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Newly amended independent claims 1 and 12 include new limitations that were not presented for examination prior to issuing of the Final Office Action on 2-22-2007; Claim 1 includes: '...searching a database of routing information associated with a plurality of communication devices for a respective plurality of subscribers...' and '...retrieving routing information from the database for an alternate communication device associated with the second destination of the called party...' and Claim 12 includes: '...a database for retaining and selecting routing information for a plurality of communication devices associated with a respective plurality of subscribers...' and '...wherein the second destination comprises an alternate communication device for the called party...'.

Claims 1-9 and 11-20 would require further search and/or consideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600